PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D	2	Ū	MAR	2006
WIPO				POT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 11321-P086WO	FOR FURTHER AC	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Form PCT/IPEA/416						
International application No. PCT/US2005/009677	International filing date (c 24.03.2005	lay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 25.03.2004					
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC C01B31/02								
Applicant WILLIAM MARSH RICE UNIVERSITY et al.								
1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining. Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.								
2. This REPORT consists o	a total of 6 sheets, including th	is cover sheet.						
	panied by ANNEXES, comprisin							
	int and to the International Burea							
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).								
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.								
b. (sent to the Intern	b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a							
Box Relating to So	equence Listing (see Section 802	2 of the Administrative	e Instructions).					
4. This report contains indic	ations relating to the following ite	ems:						
☐ Box No. I Basis o	f the opinion							
☐ Box No. II Priority								
☐ Box No. III Non-es	tablishment of opinion with rega	rd to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
	funity of invention							
☐ Box No. V Reason applice								
De Box (10: 1: 00:11								
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application								
☑ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application								
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of	this report					
Date of submission of the demand								
10.10.2005		17.03.2006						
Name and mailing address of the	nternational	Authorized Officer						
preliminary examining authority: European Patent O			i statum W. i					
D-80298 Munich		Marucci, A	en Pue					
Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Fax: +49 89 2399 -	7 Tx: 523656 epmu d 4465	Telephone No. +49 89	2399-					
		1						

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/US2005/009677

_	Box No. I Basis of the report						
	•						
1.	With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in whice filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
	☐ This report is based on trans which is the language of a tra ☐ international search (unde ☐ publication of the internat ☐ international preliminary e	anslation furnished for the pi er Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) tional application (under Rule	• 12.4)	age ,			
2.	With regard to the elements * of the have been furnished to the receivage report as "originally filed" and are	ving Office in response to an	this report is based on <i>(replacinvitation under Article 14 are</i>	ement sheets which referred to in this			
	Description Pages	0,0		*			
5	Description, Pages	as originally filed	er selfer filming er er Are ottalen, sch Også er blev av er er	ugjaren ili yan 1900 da Maria Marika da 1900 Katarika Maria da 1900			
	Claims, Numbers	as originally filed					
	Drawings, Sheets	ı	÷	τ			
	1/11-11/11	as originally filed					
	☐ a sequence listing and/or any	y related table(s) - see Supp	lemental Box Relating to Sequ	ence Listing			
3.	☐ The amendments have resulting the description, pages ☐ the claims, Nos. ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs ☐ the sequence listing (specially any table(s) related to second	cify):		./ 1			
4.	had not been made, since they had not been made, since they had Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)). the description, pages the claims, Nos. the drawings, sheets/figs the sequence listing (special any table(s) related to sec	ave been considered to go b cify): quence listing (specify):		as indicated in the			
	* If item 4 applies, so	me or all of these sh	eets may be marked "su	perseded."			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/US2005/009677

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-28

No:

Claims

Yes: Claims

Claims No:

1-28

Industrial applicability (IA)

Claims Yes:

1-28

Claims No:

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Box No. VI Certain documents cited

1. Certain published documents (Rule 70.10)

and/or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rule 70.9)

see separate sheet

Certain observations on the international application Box No. VIII

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Re Item V.

- 1 Reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: J.L. BAHR AND J. M. TOUR: "Highly functionalized carbon nanotubes using in situ generated diazonium compounds" CHEM. MATER., vol. 13, 24 October 2001 (2001-10-24), pages 3823-3824, XP002350421
 - D2: V.A. DAVIS ET AL: "Phase behavior and rheology of SWNTs in superacids" MACROMOLECULES, vol. 37, 9 December 2003 (2003-12-09), pages 154-160, XP002350422
 - D3: C.A. DYKE AND J.M.TOUR: "Solvent-free functionalization of carbon nanotubes" J. AM. CHEM. SOC., vol. 125, 2003, pages 1156-1157, XP002350420
 - D4: T. V. SREEKUMAR ET AL: "Single-wall carbon nanotube films" CHEM. MATER., vol. 15, 4 December 2003 (2003-12-04), pages 175-178, XP002350423

2 Inventive step:

- 2.1 Document D1 discloses a method for functionalizing carbon nanotubes by preparing a suspension of purified SWNTs in 1,2-dichlorobenzene and by reacting it with a mixture of an aniline derivative with isoamyl nitrite (page 3823, column 2, lines 3-23; figure 1). The preparation in situ of diazonium from the aniline derivative and the isoamyl nitrite was found to be an effective means of functionalization (page 3824, last sentence before the "Acknowledgement").
- 2.2.1 Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses a method from which the subject-matter of independent claim 1 differs in that the carbon nanotubes to be functionalised are dispersed in an acidic medium.
- 2.2.2 The problem to be solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as enhancing the degree of functionalization of the product by increasing the de-roping of the nanotube bundles through a better dispersion of the carbon nanotubes in the reacting solution.

- 2.2.3 In view of D2 the solution proposed in claim 1 of the present application cannot be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons:
 - Document D2 reports a method for dispersing SWNTs at concentrations up to 10 wt% in superacids ("Introduction" and "Experimental methods"). The interaction between the superacid and the SWNTs is said to overcome the wall-wall van der Waal interactions in the bundles and to disperse a higher concentration of nanotubes than the usual surfactant solutions.
- A man skilled in the art willing to solve the problem posed, would therefore apply the teaching of document D2 to the method disclosed in D1 without exercise of any inventive skills. The proposed solution in independent claim 1 thus cannot be considered inventive (Article 33(3) PCT).
- 2.3 The same reasoning as given for claim 1 will apply mutatis mutandis for the subject-matter of claim 16.
 - Therefore claim 16 also does not meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step (Article 33 (3) PCT).
- 2.4 The attention of the applicant is drawn to the fact that also documents D3 and D4 are relevant for the inventive step. Document D3 stresses the severe limitation of functionalization processes performed in solution due to the extraordinary amount of solvent needed for dispersing the nanotubes (page 1156, column 1, lines 4-13) and reports a method for a solvent-free functionalization of carbon nanotubes with a substituted aniline together with isoamyl nitrite (page 1156, column 1). Document D4 discloses a method for dispersing carbon nanotubes in an oleum solution ("Experimental section").
- 2.5 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-15, 17-28
 - Dependent claims 2-15, 17-28 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).
- 3 Claim 16 comprises all the features of claim 1 and is therefore not appropriately

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2005/009677

formulated as a claim dependent on the latter (Rule 6.4 PCT).

and place of the control of the cont

Re Item VIII.

The vague and imprecise statement in the description on page 14 ([0054]) and on page 17 ([0068]) concerning the "spirit of the present invention" implies that the subject-matter for which protection is sought may be different to that defined by the claims, thereby resulting in lack of clarity (Article 6 PCT) when used to interpret them.